



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

AS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/630,777	08/02/2000	Tetsuro Kawahara	Q60204	8005

7590 12/14/2001

Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1774

6

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/630,777

Applicant(s)

KAWAHARA ET AL.

Examiner

Lawrence D Ferguson

Art Unit

1774

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over
EP 0 901 991 A2 in view of JP 58223332 A1.

3. EP '991 discloses a photocatalytic glass pane high in photocatalytic activity with at least one layer formed on at least one major surface of the glass substrate and at least one layer has an outer layer made of photocatalytic titanium oxide (paragraph 0005 through 0007). EP '991 discloses at least one first interlayer and at least one second interlayer made of aluminum oxide, tin oxide or zinc oxide (paragraph 0015, lines 1-5). EP '991 discloses the titanium oxide layer directly formed on a glass substrate (paragraph 0018, line 17). EP '991 discloses the titanium oxide film with a thickness of 135nm (paragraph 0019, line 43) and the aluminum oxide film having a thickness of about 70nm (paragraph 0026, line 55). EP '991 discloses a titanium oxide film formed on the aluminum oxide film (paragraph 0027, line 58). Although EP '991 does not explicitly disclose the films as n-type semiconductor films, they both include semiconductor materials comprising solid crystalline material having electrical conductivity greater than

insulators but less than good conductors, such as titanium and aluminum. EP '991 does not disclose an energy band gap.

JP '332 teaches a semiconductor photocatalyst with different membranes (film or layer) varying in band gap energy (abstract). It would have been obvious to include the energy band gaps for the two films of EP '991 in order to maximize the photocatalytic activity properties of the glass pane.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

4. Claim 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over EP 0 901 991 A2 in view of JP 58223332 A1 (above) in view of XP 002151982 and EP 08 20 967 A1.

5. EP 0 901 991 A2 in view of JP 58223332 A1 is relied upon for claims 1-6. The EP and JP references (above) do not disclose an alkali diffusion preventive film.

XP '982 teaches an alkali barrier film and a photocatalyst film on a glass substrate. All of the cited references are analogous art because they are from the same field of photocatalytic films. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art include the alkali barrier layer interposed between the glass pane and first interlayer of EP '991 to prevent contamination of photocatalytic film so the photocatalytic activity would not be affected.

None of the above references teach a hydrophilic film. EP '967 teaches a glass substrate with a film of a photocatalyzer such as TiO₂ (abstract) and a hydrophilic film (abstract and page

Art Unit: 1774

2, lines 48-55). All of the references are analogous art because they are from the same field of photocatalytic films. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the hydrophilic film in the on the photocatalyst film of EP '991 to cut down fogging of the films.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.



Lawrence D. Ferguson
Examiner
December 13, 2001

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

